

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/624,072	HIRATA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ian N. Moore	2661	

All Participants:

(1) Ian N. Moore.

(2) Carl I. Brundidge.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 31 August 2005

Time: 11:00 AM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

U.S.C. 103 (a)

Claims discussed:

8,9,11

Prior art documents discussed:

Mustajarvi and La Porta


Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant agrees to cancel claims 8-9, rewrite claim 11 into an independent form by incorporating all limitations from claims 8-9, and add some new limitations from claim 1. Others discussions are set forth in examiner's amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)